

Appendix H – Summary of Responses to Councillor Consultation

Neighbourhood Pot Bids

Area	Bid	Comment Received	Officer Response
W(M)	Extension and Associated Works to Waterlooville Bowling Club House (A)	<p>This bid is dependent on matched funding from HCC. This needs to be confirmed before agreeing. I would like a community use agreement in place for any community buildings who have CIL funding agreed. I would also like an agreement that the club would be fully inclusive to all members of the community and work with local schools. There also needs to be progress made or consideration given to set up a community interest company so the bowling club take responsibility for ongoing maintenance and running of the building. If these issues are addressed I support the bid.</p>	<p>The outcome of the bid can be made dependent on HCC funding.</p> <p>Community Use Agreements do have a place, however, the cost of drawing up an agreement will be an additional financial burden on CIL funds. As we largely pay CIL funds in arrears (excepting where an interim payment has been requested). Legal have advised that such an agreement will not be necessary in this instance. However, we shall be encouraging all community groups participating in the spending of CIL funds to be inclusive and representative of their local community.</p> <p>Waterlooville Bowling Club will be responsible for ongoing maintenance costs arising from these works. The terms of the lease are set by the Estates Team.</p>
		<p>As with [REDACTED], there is a great opportunity to make the bowling club fully inclusive and open to all. This does need to be a formal agreement.</p>	<p>We shall be encouraging all community groups participating in the spending of CIL funds to be inclusive and representative of their local community.</p> <p>Community Use Agreements do have a place, however, the cost of drawing up an agreement will be an additional financial burden on CIL funds. As we largely pay CIL funds in arrears (excepting where an interim payment has been requested). Legal have advised that such an agreement will not be necessary in this instance.</p>
WH	Leigh Park Baptist Church Sports Hall Flooring (B)	<p>Happy to support this bid as a good space for community and sports activities in Leigh Park</p>	Support welcomed.
		<p>I support bids (B); (H); (N) and (G).</p>	Support welcomed.
		<p>This, I believe, is a better way to spend our limited Neighbourhood CIL (than bid E).</p> <p>I also support the flooring for the Leigh Park Baptist Church sports hall. This would serve the health needs of quite a lot of the local community, particularly young people.</p>	Support welcomed.

Area	Bid	Comment Received	Officer Response
		I support this, is used by the wider community groups.	Support welcomed.
		I would be quite happy to support this bid.	Support welcomed.
		Small amount to reach a good number of residents. They have the additional £7.5K and I believe this would be a good bid which we should move forwards	Support welcomed.
CC	Emsworth Men's Shed (C)	I am very supportive of ... the Emsworth-related proposals. I believe these are highly cost effective and impactful, and will benefit a broad demographic within a Emsworth and beyond. For example, Emsworth Museum is a tourist attraction that attracts visitors from the UK and beyond.	Support welcomed.
		Totally agree they do great work in the community.	Support welcomed.
		Fully supported. Project meets all criteria and match funding guidelines. I have seen other Men's Sheds in action, they are great and once up and running can offer activity payback into the community (e.g. gardening, painting fences and minor home repairs for disabled people), some have even set up choirs.	Support welcomed.
		Great value in the community for men of retirement age. Good for mental health. Members help with small local community projects	Support welcomed.
CC	Emsworth Maritime and Historical Trust Replacement Display Cabinets (D)	I am very supportive of ...the Emsworth-related proposals. I believe these are highly cost effective and impactful, and will benefit a broad demographic within a Emsworth and beyond. For example, Emsworth Museum is a tourist attraction that attracts visitors from the UK and beyond.	Support welcomed.
		It would be helpful to get at least three other quotes for the display cabinets to establish if the quote is a normal price.	We have been advised that this is specialised equipment; additional information has been supplied confirming the two estimates obtained were similar in cost.
		Supplementary question re: location of Emsworth Museum. If cabinets are being fitted in situ and building is leased from HBC, are there any long-term museum location issues on the horizon? Does HBC have any future plans for this whole building? e.g. should Retained Fire Station close?	We are not aware of any long-term museum location issues.
		Some concerns re: current physical access.	This is outside the scope of this consultation.
		Where is the supplier based? Is it a local company - Hampshire? Although this does appear very expensive	The supplier has previously supplied a similar cabinet in Winchester. This is not a two-year project. There are

Area	Bid	Comment Received	Officer Response
		<p>for display cabinets, I fully appreciate they are not ones you can buy from a furniture shop. Page 5 of additional text states that committee agreed they can only afford to buy one at present yet are bidding for partial funding for two cabinets? Is this a two-year project? One cabinet a year? Supported as 'desirable' as they have match funding and we must preserve our history for future generations.</p>	<p>economies of scale with delivery and installation of two cabinets at the same time, the proposal is that the council fund £12,400 of the total project cost of £28,400.</p>
		<p>Great local asset that has been self sufficient with display cabinets but these now need replacing and made fit for the future. Understand that it is better to buy 2 together and save 10%.</p>	<p>Support welcomed.</p>
WH	Storey 'Community' Garden (E)	<p>I fully support this bid as I consider it essential and should be paid in full. This project restores the walled garden to its original use and so increases the tourist offer of Staunton Country Park. It also continues to give employment to many people with learning difficulties. The work they do helps with the upkeep of the park and they sell their plants and produce in the Park shop. This all helps to preserve this much needed green space in the borough</p> <p>After listening to all the Information and fellow councillors, I would like to recommend that the Storey Community Garden, while very laudable is very questionable.</p> <p>The "Match Funding" is not there. Where they propose to put the garden is illogical when it will mean moving the car park across the road, to a smaller area. I believe this bid is Premature.</p> <p>I do not believe it is "Essential" and I do not support the bid.</p> <p>I would strongly support the Storey Community Garden. The work being done for and by these disadvantaged people is of great importance to them, to us as caring citizens and we end up with our beautiful gardens being extended.</p>	<p>Support welcomed.</p> <p>This project is match funded by £12,000 plus volunteer hours equating to £92K, however we appreciate Councillors' concerns that this amount is a large proportion of the funds available to this area of the borough and are therefore recommending spending a reduced amount.</p> <p>There is precedent for the cost of voluntary labour being accepted by HBC in CIL bidding. This is also an accepted element of match funding with other organisations e.g. The National Lottery.</p> <p>The bid has the support of Hampshire County Council who own and manage Staunton Country Park.</p> <p>Support welcomed.</p>

Area	Bid	Comment Received	Officer Response
		<p>The Storey Garden project I feel at this moment in time is requiring a lot of funding from the CIL Pot. Not that isn't a worthwhile bid, my thoughts they should raise more money towards the project themselves.</p>	<p>Support welcomed.</p> <p>We are in principle happy with the match funding proposed £12,000 plus volunteer hours equating to £92K, however we appreciate Councillors' concerns that this amount is a large proportion of the funds available to this area of the borough and are therefore recommending spending a reduced amount.</p> <p>There is precedent for the cost of voluntary labour being accepted by HBC in CIL bidding. This is also an accepted element of match funding with other organisations e.g. The National Lottery. We are aiming to carry out a review of the CIL Spending Protocol in 2020 and this item will be further consideration as part of this process.</p>
		<p>I cannot support this for many reasons some of which I have already spoken off, HCC has recently received lottery funding, asking for too much, smaller groups which will help the wider community will have a chance to apply, the volunteers will not be made redundant. they work there regardless of what work they need to do.</p>	<p>We understand from Hampshire County Council that the lottery funding for improvements to the park is fully committed.</p> <p>However we appreciate Councillors' concerns that this amount is a large proportion of the funds available to this area of the borough and are therefore recommending spending a reduced amount.</p> <p>This bid has been made by the Right to Work Group who having applied for CIL funding clearly doubt that if funding is not forthcoming then <i>'essential and life changing work opportunities for over 120 people, all of whom have learning disabilities'</i> may have to be curtailed.</p>
		<p>I do not believe that the amount of money requested is a good use of the overall CIL fund. The volunteer hours should be demonstrated as this appears to an an exercise to achieve what is required to meet their bid. Currently I believe they only have 12K and therefore any bid should be a maximum of 12K.</p>	<p>We are in principle happy with the match funding proposed £12,000 plus volunteer hours equating to £92K, however we appreciate Councillors' concerns that this amount is a large proportion of the funds available to this area of the borough and are therefore recommending spending a reduced amount.</p> <p>There is precedent for the cost of voluntary labour being accepted by HBC in CIL bidding. This is also an accepted element of match funding with other organisations e.g. The National Lottery. We are aiming to carry out a review</p>

Area	Bid	Comment Received	Officer Response
			of the CIL Spending Protocol in 2020 and this item will be further consideration as part of this process.
WH	Feasibility Study - Havant Wellbeing Centre (G)	I support bids (B); (H); (N) and (G).	Support welcomed, however this bid has now been withdrawn.
		This, I believe, is a better way to spend our limited Neighbourhood CIL (than bid E).	
		I would also support the feasibility Study for the health and wellbeing centre. This is something that is badly needed.	
		It would be good if we were a step further forward, but we have to start somewhere and it would be a good use of the partnership S106 money.	
		I support this.	
WH	Installation of New Non-turf Artificial Cricket Wicket at Havant Park (H)	This should be supported as it encourages sports participation in the Borough.	Noted. Support welcomed.
		I support bids (B); (H); (N) and (G).	Support welcomed.
		This, I believe, is a better way to spend our limited Neighbourhood CIL (than bid E).	
		If items have to be dropped the three cricket clubs could probably raise money for the artificial cricket pitches. Yes, it would be pleasant to have them but they would support a much more limited (mainly masculine) clientele.	Noted, however there are sufficient funds to support all three projects providing the councillors are in agreement with this decision.
		I would be quite happy to support this bid.	Support welcomed.
		Support this bid as another example of an item which is low value and gets good use. The increase of artificial grass is happening all over the borough and helps to continue with matches/games when the weather would not normally allow so this should be promoted as part of a greater plan to increase sports across Havant.	Support welcomed. This project is supported by the Play Pitch Strategy.
W(M)	Refurbishment of Non-turf Artificial Cricket Wicket at Jubilee Park, Waterlooville (I)	If items have to be dropped the three cricket clubs could probably raise money for the artificial cricket pitches. Yes, it would be pleasant to have them but they would support a much more limited (mainly masculine) clientele.	Noted, however there are sufficient funds to support all three projects providing the councillors are in agreement with this decision.
		The matched funding is being made up of S106 money. The same request has been made of two other locations linking it to our Playing Pitch Strategy . We	This project is in response to identified need arising out of population growth as set out in the Play Pitch Strategy 2017-2036.

Area	Bid	Comment Received	Officer Response
		need to be clear that the current protocol clearly states that maintenance cannot be covered by CIL, yet this is a form of maintenance. This project should be linked to project (O).	This project can be informally linked to project (O) by the Community Team, who will be assisting with the delivery of both (I and (O)).
CC	Installation of New Non-turf Artificial Cricket Wicket at Emsworth Recreation Ground (J)	Yes totally agree.	Noted. Support welcomed.
		If items have to be dropped the three cricket clubs could probably raise money for the artificial cricket pitches. Yes, it would be pleasant to have them but they would support a much more limited (mainly masculine) clientele.	Noted, however there are sufficient funds to support all three projects providing the councillors are in agreement with this decision.
		Fully supported if there are no concerns re: S106 funding being permitted as part of the CIL project match funding. Potential cost saving in not having to maintain current wicket? Would be great to have the whole of ERG recognised as an up-to-date and desirable 'go-to' destination for play and recreation (when play area project is further matured).	Noted. Support welcomed. It is expedient that historic S106 funds are spent. The Community Team are prioritising S106 expenditure in accordance with the requirements of the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Strategy.
		Section 106 to be used to match fund - what is the council's decision on this? Depending on this decision - I am supportive.	Noted. Support welcomed. It is expedient that historic S106 funds are spent. The Community Team are prioritising S106 expenditure in accordance with the requirements of the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Strategy. The decision on the spending of S106 funds will be included in the report to Cabinet and Council recommending CIL expenditure.
CC	Early Learning, Community and Youth Centre at Redlands Grange Feasibility (K)	I agree that this needs to be achieved but I feel a feasibility study should be funded outside of the council. A project I am the lead of is running a feasibility study which achieved funding. A lot of funders are very keen to fund ideas which incorporate all ages.	Noted, however the project concerns the future of land already transferred to the Council for Community Use. It may therefore prudent to support this project especially given the shortfall of nursery provision in Emsworth and the possibility of capital funding (if feasible) as outlined in the bid submission.
		Agree that there are insufficient community facilities for young people and nursery provision. A feasibility study will be required if £1M borrowing is anticipated to realise it. So, although project cost of £32,135 (up to £20,000) appears expensive, as a proportion of the costs probably not. I am not comfortable with a 'project time value' of £7,000 being incorporated in the match funding breakdown. It really is only £5,135 in monetary value so not 50%.	Noted, support welcomed. There is precedent for the cost of voluntary labour being accepted by HBC in CIL bidding. This is also an accepted element of match funding with other organisations e.g. The National Lottery. It is anticipated that the nursery will be operated through a partnership with Emsworth Land Trust and the YMCA, no

Area	Bid	Comment Received	Officer Response
		<p>Who will operate the nursery? Commercial enterprise?</p> <p>How does the council value professional time from the YMCA? I believe that this should be given a value. Project will open funding from YMCA to deliver this project as they have in other areas in the UK and provide facilities for a much needed nursery and also a youth provision for this area and future developments in the Southleigh area</p>	<p>doubt this will be explored more fully in the feasibility report.</p> <p>Noted, support welcomed.</p> <p>There is precedent for the cost of voluntary labour being accepted by HBC in CIL bidding. This is also an accepted element of match funding with other organisations e.g. The National Lottery. The YMCA project time would be regarded in a similar fashion.</p>
	Hayling Island Bowls Club Green (L)	<p>My experience with members of this bowling club was unpleasant, how can we be certain they will encourage the general public to use the facilities? How many members do they have? What is the cost of membership? What is their advertisement plan to encourage the general public?</p> <p>The club will need to publish a 'community openness' strategy so that the number of people who may potentially benefit can be optimised. Noted comparison to another club who have increased membership to 140 – still a small number. Is there a cost saving as NORSE will not be maintaining the green? What is the average age of members? How can they attract a more diverse membership? Same concern with S106 monies being used as part of match funding calculation. Have they secured Sport England funding?</p> <p>Supported if match funding is secured and as the club leases this from HBC it will enhance this asset.</p> <p>I have given a great deal of thought to the CIL process and want to confirm to you my opposition to match funding coming from our S106 pots. I believe it makes</p>	<p>Additional information on this item was circulated to the Coastal Communities Group of Councillors on 10 December 2019. One of the responses by the club was: <i>'One of the main reasons for wishing to convert our green to an all-weather playing surface is to provide a facility which will suit all ages.'</i></p> <p>Whilst the club has limited appeal, we have previously supported other community groups with CIL funding which are similarly limited.</p> <p>Support noted.</p> <p>It is not within the remit of this project to require a 'community openness strategy'. However, we shall be encouraging all community groups participating in the spending of CIL funds to be inclusive and representative of their local community.</p> <p>Information has been circulated to relevant Councillors regarding membership and the finances of the club on 9 and 10 December 2019.</p> <p>It is expedient that historic S106 funds are spent. The Community Team are prioritising S106 expenditure in accordance with the requirements of the Open Space Sport and Recreation Strategy.</p> <p>There is a £40K contribution to the scheme by the Sport England Community Asset Fund.</p> <p>Noted, however, it is expedient that historic S106 funds are spent. The Community Team are prioritising S106</p>

Area	Bid	Comment Received	Officer Response
		the process too weighted in favour of HBC funding and attracts no contribution from other sources.	expenditure in accordance with the requirements of the Open Space Sport and Recreation Strategy. Where groups have not raised sufficient funds from other sources we shall be encouraging them to do so.
		Not keen as feel this type of sport is not of general appeal - it is membership club.	Noted, however, lots of the bids received have limited appeal and are only relevant to a section of the population. We shall be encouraging all community groups participating in the spending of CIL funds to be inclusive and representative of their local community.
CC	Wildflower Island (M)	No - as it becomes a nuisance and difficult to manage.	Noted. This bid is not considered to be infrastructure and is therefore invalid.
WH	Leigh Park Bowls Club Extension (N)	Happy to support this as this encourages activity, in the main, for the older members of our community.	Noted. Support welcomed.
		I support bids (B); (H); (N) and (G).	Support welcomed.
		This, I believe, is a better way to spend our limited Neighbourhood CIL (than bid E).	
		I would be quite happy to support this bid.	Support welcomed.
		They have already secured the funds to meet the other costs. A good project for a smaller sum which I think could benefit a large number of existing and new members of the community in and around the area. This type of project at this cost should be welcomed.	Support welcomed.
W(M)	Refurbishment of Waterlooille Recreation Ground Play Area (O)	The Waterlooille Action Group have submitted this bid which may cost £40k or up to £91k. the park is a current area where youths congregate and at times cause criminal damage and ASB. The play park is needed however this project needs a more strategic view. There has already been CIL funding to the value of £4K awarded to WAG to conduct a feasibility study at the cricket pavilion on the same site. There has also been an offer of a youth group using the top level of the pavilion so that the rec could have the presence of mentors on hand daily. This needs a strategic plan so that the above 3 applications and delivery of the outcomes of the previous feasibility study can be brought together. If these issues are addressed and a more cohesive and strategic view taken then I support the bid.	Support welcomed. We are only able to consider this project in the confines of the bid as presented to us. The Community Team may be able to assist in looking at delivery at Waterlooille Recreation Ground as a whole. In terms of funding a consistent approach is recommended to be taken to three very similar bids across three different neighbourhood portion CIL areas, one of which is this project. It is proposed to allocate a sum of equivalent match funding to help facilitate the various schemes.
		I don't believe that monies requested for the play park should be used to pay for the cricket wicket. The play	\$106 funds for the cricket wicket are coming from 'Sports Pitch Funds.'

Area	Bid	Comment Received	Officer Response
		<p>area is long overdue for improvement; it's barely fit for purpose.</p> <p>I believe by incorporating some equipment, namely an outside gym, that this could alleviate some of the senseless vandalism, which has been seen in other play parks.</p> <p>By working with [REDACTED], Youth Worker, I intend to address the ASB by including them in the process which should, in turn, facilitate a sense of ownership.</p>	<p>Agreed that the play area is long overdue for improvement. Support welcomed.</p> <p>These comments will be passed onto the Community Team who will assist in the delivery of this bid.</p> <p>This support is welcomed and may result in a short to long term beneficial effect.</p>
CC	Refurbishment of Emsworth Recreation Ground Play Area (P)	<p>I am very supportive of ...the Emsworth-related proposals. I believe these are highly cost effective and impactful, and will benefit a broad demographic within a Emsworth and beyond. For example Emsworth Museum is a tourist attraction that attracts visitors from the UK and beyond....if I had to prioritise a proposal it would be the desperately needed refurbishment of the play area at Emsworth Recreation Ground. Leisure and activity options (at least those that are free of charge) for families are very limited in Emsworth. This site needs urgent investment and I know the community would value it.</p> <p>I agree with this</p> <p>Fully supported once CIC established formally and match funding is realised (from Verity's Wish Charity etc). This play area is accessible to anyone in Havant.</p> <p>Agree currently premature.</p> <p>Premature as [REDACTED] is not beginning his fund raising efforts until March/April this year.</p>	<p>Noted, however a consistent approach is recommended to be taken to three very similar bids across three different neighbourhood portion CIL areas, one of which is this project. It is proposed to allocate a sum of equivalent match funding to help facilitate the various schemes.</p>
WH	Scratchface Lane Park Funding (R)	<p>Assuming the drainage problem can be sorted then this is a great use for this park. Happy to see more Parkruns.</p> <p>Unfortunately, I was unable to attend the Scratchface Lane consultation and do not feel well enough briefed to comment.</p> <p>I would be quite happy to support this bid.</p>	<p>Noted, however a consistent approach is recommended to be taken to three very similar bids across three different neighbourhood portion CIL areas, one of which is this project. It is proposed to allocate a sum of equivalent match funding to help facilitate the various schemes.</p>

Area	Bid	Comment Received	Officer Response
		<p>I support this and would prefer the fourth option which was on the paperwork given out at the public meeting at Bedhampton church Hall.</p> <p>A supporter of this project as the one of the originators [REDACTED] I believe that planning is not a reason not to approve a bid so this should not be treated as premature and should be part of the overall CIL fund for 2020 approval. The overall benefit of a play area with a potential sports pitch is promoted in the open space strategy which sits as the evidence base for the Local Plan. Due to its age the play area now offers limited play value for the large community that it serves. The play area was installed in in the 1980's with some items of play having been removed as they have reached the end of their useable life.</p> <p>The play area is well located within the community and attracts a high number of visitors. The recreation ground has a varied number of users including and the plan for an inclusive play area which park run circuit will help to include a number of different community groups.</p> <p>The enhancements made to this play area will provide new physical activity opportunities and deliver improvements to the health and wellbeing of the local community. This aligns to Policies E2 (Health and Wellbeing) and E8 (Protection of Existing Open Space) and E11 (Sports and Recreation) of the local plan.</p>	
CC	Theatre Seating Refurbishment (S)	<p>Do not agree they should have a 'sponsor a chair'.</p> <p>Meets criteria in that match funding is available. It is accessible to all in Havant.</p> <p>I would like to see their education and training strategy. Are the premises available to local schools? The</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Having approached the theatre they have initiated this type of scheme and have reduced the bid amount required from £11,728 to £5,200.</p> <p>Supported welcomed.</p> <p>It is not within the remit of this project to require sight of their education and training strategy although we are aware that the theatre has a young actors group for 10-16 year olds.</p>

Area	Bid	Comment Received	Officer Response
		investment will enhance this HBC asset. Is the status as a 'limited company' acceptable? Supported.	The granting the CIL funds may be made to a limited company. We are satisfied from an examination of the official accounts recorded at Companies House that the theatre have minimal reserves.
		HBC own the building and it offers a good facility for Hayling Island and the Havant area.	Support welcomed.
CC	Updating Hewitt's Community Kitchen (T)	I am very supportive of ...the Emsworth-related proposals. I believe these are highly cost effective and impactful, and will benefit a broad demographic within a Emsworth and beyond. For example, Emsworth Museum is a tourist attraction that attracts visitors from the UK and beyond.	Support welcomed.
		Definitely support.	Support welcomed.
		Fully supported, meets all criteria. Small outlay for huge benefit.	Support welcomed.
		Community cafe working with adults with learning difficulties. Value of this work that is part of the health and wellbeing strategy and offers social inclusion	Support welcomed.
W(M)	Feasibility Study for Rebuilding of Waterlooville Men's Shed (Old Cowplain Pavilion) (U)	I totally support this fantastic project.	Support welcomed.
CC	Pavement Access between St Leonards Ave and St Margarets Rd, Hayling Island (V)	Definitely support.	Support welcomed.
		Agree premature.	Noted. However, with the assistance of Community First we hope that this group will become formally constituted. We are proposing that this be considered for funding on this basis.
WH	Hermitage Stream Bridge (W)	It would be good to see the Hermitage Stream Bridge made safer and more usable and extending our network of cycleways.	Support welcomed, however, this bid is considered premature in this round of CIL bidding and will not be taken forward this year.
N/A	General	I am concerned at your grouping of areas for consideration into Hayling, Waterlooville and Havant (which included Leigh Park). Re population, Hayling is a very small part of the Borough, certainly not one third. If you group together Havant (which presumably includes Bedhampton and Emsworth) and Leigh Park you have by far the greater population. If you look at need, Leigh Park has far fewer amenities than the other areas. Support and development is badly needed and yet, during the past years, there has	The three area groups are a new approach for this year. It makes sense to set Emsworth and Hayling as one group as they benefit from a higher CIL rate. The remainder of the borough has been split geographically. The NP funds received are directly attributed to the areas in which they have been collected. The Regeneration Strategy for Havant Borough 2018-2036 aspires to regenerate Leigh Park Centre. If there are

Area	Bid	Comment Received	Officer Response
		<p>been very little. I am aware that the regeneration plan is to deal with Havant Town and Hayling first. I am also aware that part of the regeneration aspiration is for a better educated and qualified population.</p>	<p>further queries regarding the Regeneration Strategy please address these to the Head of Regeneration.</p>
		<p>I would like to raise the matter of the new approach with groupings into three areas. Although it is not stated, it does tend to direct decisions towards all areas getting a fair share of the funds Grouping Havant with the Leigh Park Wards is particularly difficult. Havant, St Faith's Ward, has different needs from Leigh Park.</p> <p>The combined population of wider Havant is much bigger than that of the Coastal areas, and Leigh Park, where some of the wards are very deprived, needs all of the help it can get. In contrast the two Hayling wards and Emsworth have some very affluent areas where residents can do more for themselves. In past years much of the funding has gone to projects in Hayling (as has the main pot) although I note that some of it has not been feasible and the money remains unspent.</p>	<p>This year's approach to the Neighbourhood Portion is very much on a trial basis and the groupings could change in the future. However, due to the built up nature of Leigh Park, it typically will receive less CIL across the relevant wards than the other wards in the 'Wider Havant Area'.</p> <p>The comparably more deprived nature of Leigh Park is noted. However, this year's amended approach to CIL Neighbourhood Portion spending has shown that a fair proportion of funds has gone to Hayling. Groups from all areas of the borough are encouraged to submit bids for CIL funding.</p>
		<p>We seem to be moving money from one pot to another.</p>	<p>Limitation of Council finances is not within the remit of this project. Council Team's may have the expertise but not the resources to deliver infrastructure projects. Funding infrastructure using internal teams is likely to be less costly than exploring the cost with external companies.</p>
N/A	General	<p>There is no innovation in the current protocol. The requirement for up to 50% matched funding needs reviewing. CIL bids that don't meet criteria should be closed off immediately.</p>	<p>We are aiming to carry out a review of the CIL Spending Protocol in 2020.</p>
		<p>I am also new to this process. From the very informative workshop, as I understand it, the CIL process does appear to have some flaws which need to be resolved as we move forward</p>	<p>We are aiming to carry out a review of the CIL Spending Protocol in 2020.</p>
		<p>All HBC departments need to work together and not against each other. CIL applicants should have an easy experience once they have met the criteria, not barriers, particularly when we own buildings.</p>	<p>Agreed, the CI Team relies on the support of colleagues when assessing bids. However, the CI Team are not in a position to continue to support bids which are in contradiction to another area of HBC Policy.</p>
		<p>The S106 pot needs to be as transparent as the CIL pot.</p>	<p>This is not within the remit of this project. However, the council provides data on S106 agreements on our</p>

Area	Bid	Comment Received	Officer Response
			<p>website: www.havant.gov.uk/section-106-legal-agreements-s106</p> <p>Our current level of reporting will be enhanced by 31 December 2020 as required by the CIL Regulations.</p>
		<p>It would be good to make comments and see bids from other areas.</p>	<p>Noted, this can be considered as part of the 2020 CIL Spending Protocol Review</p>
		<p>Also applicants that benefit from CIL in financing their projects in full should be prevented from applying for the same pot again and again.</p>	<p>If a bid has been unsuccessful in the past it does not preclude it being submitted at a subsequent date. A bid's success depends in part on the other bids received at the same time.</p>
		<p>It is desirable that proposed projects should have the maximum or are optimised to be as accessible to the whole of/or as much of the Community as possible. Not only physical access but also in cost to the individual and that the projects strive to be inclusive.</p>	<p>Noted. We shall be encouraging all community groups participating in the spending of CIL funds to be inclusive and representative of their local community.</p>